

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/719,670	12/14/2000	Andreas Bleckmann	BEIERSDORF 6	2607	
7590 11/07/2003			EXAMINER		
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus P.A.			METZMAIER, DANIEL S		
30th floor 220 East 42nd street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10017			1712		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Y
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{T}
Advisory Action	09/719,670	BLECKMANN ET AL.	
Advisory Addish	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard D. Lovering	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		•	
THE REPLY FILED OCT. 9, 24/31LS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	(1) a timely tiled amendment wh	ich places the application in	k
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to NNLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	hisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, nation and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee und the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	e der
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendme	∍nt
5.☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request f application in condition for allowance because: 」	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the	e
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. \bigset{\infty} For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims to			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5-14 (NOT NEWLY AMELIES) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Richard D. Lovering RICHARD D. LOVERING PRIMARY EXAMINER	
703-308-0443		GROUP ### 1800	